Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/736,091	NAITO, HARUSUKE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David A. Reifsnyder	1723
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) David A. Reifsnyder.	(3)	
(2) <u>Daniel M. Reiss</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 November 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G The applicant's represenative stated that no response was the case was meant to be abandoned of a Continuation-In-	filed in response to the office acti	ion mailed on May 23, 3006 , because
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sun 	 The examiner will provide a trate record of the substance of 	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
	•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	licant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)